

Framing Fluency Rubric

	MASTERFUL	SKILLFUL	BEGINNER
NAVIGATION OF 'THE SWAMP'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Navigates the swamp effectively in prepared remarks: avoids all unproductive parts of the swamp and 'traps.' Recognizes elements of the swamp in visitor remarks, and strategically chooses the best frame element to effectively pivot back to reframe discourse. Asks questions strategically, inviting and enabling visitors to contribute to a reframed conversation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Navigates the swamp effectively in prepared remarks: avoids all unproductive parts of the swamp and 'traps.' Recognizes elements of the swamp in visitor remarks and attempts to redirect through reframing. May make occasional or minor missteps in spontaneous responses to 'swampy' discourse, but attempts to pivot are evident and mostly effective. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepared remarks navigate the swamp fairly well – most common and obvious 'traps' and unproductive parts of the swamp are avoided. In spontaneous responses to 'swampy' discourse, attempts to pivot back to reframed discourse are missing or mostly unsuccessful.
USE OF TESTED VALUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appeals to tested Values early on, and also as warranted throughout the communication. Effective, elegant use of both explicit and implicit appeals to tested Values. When warranted, seamlessly redirects swampy discourse to a more productive frame by pivoting to a Value. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opens the communication with an effective appeal to tested Value(s). Avoids use of Values not supported to research. May appeal to a tested Value at other points. Opportunity for appeal to a Value may be missed when an attempt is warranted. 	<p>Appeals to at least one tested Value, but one or more apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appeal to tested Value lacks fidelity to the original research definition (misused Value). Appeals to one or more Values not supported by research (intuitive Value).
EXPLANATION OF THE PROCESS OF CLIMATE CHANGE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Heat-Trapping Blanket" is accurately and effectively integrated into the overall interpretation. A succinct, science-based Explanatory Chain is elegantly woven into the interpretation, leading the visitor to see how active citizenship might make a meaningful difference in outcomes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Heat-Trapping Blanket" is used to explain how man-made carbon emissions contribute to climate change. A clear and accurate Explanatory Chain is used to effectively explain a process in such a way that it seems amenable to change. 	<p>Attempts to introduce and explain a climate change process, but one or more may apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Heat-Trapping Blanket" is missing when an attempt is warranted, is too brief to effectively teach visitors, or is inaccurately explained. An attempt to use an Explanatory Chain is evident, but does not explain the process in such a way that inspires consideration of change, or is otherwise not effective in reframing discourse.
STONE	<p>A Reasonable Tone is maintained throughout the communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Both style and content are consistently explanatory and informative, rather than persuasive or rhetorical. Communications evoke a high sense of efficacy (ability and willingness to engage in problem solving). Emotions evoked are 'cool' or 'warm,' (e.g., curiosity) not 'hot' (e.g. fear). 	<p>Communications generally support a Reasonable Tone, though one or two minor missteps are observable. These may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Missed opportunities to strengthen Reasonable Tone – room for more overt cues that the interaction is about learning and civic problem solving. Inclusion of content that could evoke crisis thinking, fatalism, or determinism. Evoking strong emotions for engagement, rather than mix of emotion and reason. 	<p>Attempts at a Reasonable Tone are evident in communications, but one or more also apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonable Tone is limited in its context; there are only a few cues that this communication is about learning and civic problem solving. Moralizing or Crisis Tones are present at points where they should always be avoided (explaining the problem, impacts and solutions). More than two instances of content or style choices that could evoke crisis thinking or strong emotions.
SOLUTIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A feasible, collective Solution is elegantly woven into the interpretation as early as possible, leading the visitor to see how active citizenship might make a meaningful difference in outcomes. The Solution presented fits the scale of the problem; no mention of individual-level or single-action Solutions. When warranted, seamlessly redirects swampy discourse to a more productive frame by pivoting to a Solution. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A feasible, collective Solution is presented at least mid-way through the communication. The Solution presented fits the scale of the problem; no mention of individual-level or single-action Solutions. 	<p>Presents at least one community-level Solution, but one or more may apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solutions come very late in the interpretation. Mentions both individual Solutions and collective Solutions. Solutions are primarily consumerist in nature. Solution feels unconnected to the interpretation topic.
SOCIAL MATH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrates a creative and skillful use of Social Math that enables visitors to understand the meaning of a concept, process, or trend related to the exhibit. Data to be framed is strategically chosen – makes a point that leads the visitor to see how active citizenship might make a meaningful difference in outcomes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses Social Math in a way that clearly and accurately communicates a meaningful relationship – helps visitor to better understand a concept, process, or trend related to the exhibit. 	<p>Attempts to include Social Math, but data to be framed is not strategically chosen, so one or more may apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Social Math feels unconnected to the interpretive context. Social Math does not deepen understanding of climate science or possible Solutions.